

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------|
| In the Matter of |) | |
| |) | |
| Inquiry Concerning High-Speed Access to |) | GN Docket No. 00-185 |
| the Internet Over Cable and Other Facilities |) | |
| |) | |
| Internet Over Cable Declaratory Ruling |) | |
| |) | |
| Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for |) | CS Docket No. 02-52 |
| Broadband Access to the Internet Over |) | |
| Cable Facilities |) | |
| _____ |) | |

COMMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES TELECOM ASSOCIATION

Lawrence E. Sarjeant
Indra Sehdev Chalk
Robin E. Tuttle

Its Attorneys

1401 H Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 2005
(202) 326-7300

June 17, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| SUMMARY | 3 |
| DISCUSSION | 4 |
| Cable Modem Service Is An Interstate Information Service | 4 |
| The FCC Should Not Mandate Open Access | 5 |
| The FCC Should Assert Title I Jurisdiction | 6 |
| Wireline Broadband Internet Access Service And Cable Modem Service Should Receive The Same Regulatory Treatment | 7 |
| Section 706 Provides The FCC With Statutory Authority To Act | 7 |
| The Constitution Requires Equal Treatment Similarly Situated Broadband Services | 8 |
| Stand Alone Broadband Transmission To An Unaffiliated ISP Is A Private Carrier Service | 9 |
| If Applied To The Broadband Internet Access Service Of Any ILEC, <i>Computer II</i> And <i>III</i> Should Be Equally Applied To Cable Modem Services..... | 9 |
| Equivalent Title II Forbearance Is Required | 9 |
| Broadband Internet Access Service Is Interstate And Should Not Be Subject To State Regulation | 10 |
| Cable Modem Service Providers Should Support Universal Service | 10 |
| CONCLUSION | 11 |